

Zolchanski 10. 2 (a)

$$\delta_{\eta_2} X = \varepsilon \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} \left[ \eta_2 \psi + \eta_2^* \tilde{\psi} \right]$$

$$\delta_{\eta_1} \delta_{\eta_2} X = \varepsilon \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} \left[ \eta_2 (\delta_{\eta_1} \psi) + \eta_2^* (\delta_{\eta_1} \tilde{\psi}) \right]$$

$$\eta_2 (\delta_{\eta_1} \psi) = \eta_2 \left[ -\varepsilon \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} \eta_1 \partial X \right]$$

$$\eta_2^* (\delta_{\eta_1} \tilde{\psi}) = \eta_2^* \left[ -\varepsilon \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} \eta_1^* \bar{\partial} X \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow \delta_{\eta_1} \delta_{\eta_2} X = \left( \varepsilon \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} \right) \left( -\varepsilon \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} \right) \left[ \eta_2 \eta_1 \partial X + \eta_2^* \eta_1^* \bar{\partial} X \right]$$

$$= -\varepsilon^2 \left[ \eta_2 \eta_1 \partial X + \eta_2^* \eta_1^* \bar{\partial} X \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow \delta_{\eta_2} \delta_{\eta_1} X = -\varepsilon^2 \left[ \eta_1 \eta_2 \partial X + \eta_1^* \eta_2^* \bar{\partial} X \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow \delta_{\eta_1} \delta_{\eta_2} X - \delta_{\eta_2} \delta_{\eta_1} X = -\varepsilon^2 \left[ (\eta_2 \eta_1 - \eta_1^* \eta_2^*) \partial X + (\eta_2^* \eta_1^* - \eta_1 \eta_2) \bar{\partial} X \right]$$

$$(\delta_{\eta_1} \delta_{\eta_2} - \delta_{\eta_2} \delta_{\eta_1}) X = -\varepsilon^2 \left[ -2\eta_1 \eta_2 \partial X - 2\eta_1^* \eta_2^* \bar{\partial} X \right]$$

using anticommutativity of  $\eta_1, \eta_2$

Comparing this with the conformal transformations of  $X^m$ :

$$\delta_{\nu} X^m = -\epsilon_{\nu} \partial X^m - \epsilon_{\nu}^{*} \bar{\partial} X^m \quad (2.4.7)$$

we see that that

$$(\delta_{\eta_1} \delta_{\eta_2} - \delta_{\eta_2} \delta_{\eta_1}) X \text{ is equivalent to } \delta_{\nu} X$$

with  $\nu = -2\eta_1 \eta_2$ . Of course the quadratic form of  $\epsilon$  in our derivation of  $(\delta_{\eta_1} \delta_{\eta_2} - \delta_{\eta_2} \delta_{\eta_1})$  has to be adjusted accordingly.



For the  $\psi, \tilde{\psi}$  fields, we need to first figure out how  $\psi, \tilde{\psi}$  transform under conformal trans.

We would like to compute  $T\psi, T\tilde{\psi}$ . First we need an expression for  $T$ . In the  $\psi_1, \psi_2$  theory, we have

$$T = -\frac{1}{2} [\psi_1 d\psi_1 + \psi_2 d\psi_2]$$

Now define  $\psi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\psi_1 + i\psi_2), \quad \tilde{\psi} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\psi_1 - i\psi_2)$ .

then  $\psi d\tilde{\psi} + \tilde{\psi} d\psi = \psi_1 d\psi_2 + \psi_2 d\psi_1$ , thus

$$T = -\frac{1}{2} [\psi d\tilde{\psi} + \tilde{\psi} d\psi]$$

Using  $\psi \tilde{\psi} \sim \frac{1}{z}$  (I think this is different from the one Polchinski had on (10.1.7), but this is what was given in section 2.5).

$$\text{We have } T\psi(0) = -\frac{1}{2} \psi(-\frac{1}{z^2}) = \frac{1}{2z^2} \psi$$

$$T\tilde{\psi}(0) = -\frac{1}{2} \tilde{\psi}(-\frac{1}{z^2}) = \frac{1}{2z^2} \tilde{\psi}$$

$$\Rightarrow \psi^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} \psi \quad \tilde{\psi}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\psi}$$

Again, using Ward identity's constraint on CFTs, (2.4.11, 12) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_v \psi &= -\frac{\epsilon}{z} \partial_v \psi && \text{for conformal transformation} \\ \delta_v \tilde{\psi} &= -\frac{\epsilon}{z} \bar{\partial}_v \tilde{\psi} && \text{parameterized by } v(z), \end{aligned}$$

Now we go to superconformal transformations,

$$\delta_{\eta_2} \psi = -\varepsilon \sqrt{\frac{2}{\alpha'}} \eta_2 \partial X$$

$$\delta_{\eta_1} \delta_{\eta_2} \psi = -\varepsilon \sqrt{\frac{2}{\alpha'}} \eta_2 \partial (\delta_{\eta_1} X)$$

$$= -\varepsilon \sqrt{\frac{2}{\alpha'}} \eta_2 \partial \left[ \varepsilon \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} (\eta_1 \psi + \eta_1^* \bar{\psi}) \right]$$

$$= -\varepsilon^2 \eta_2 \left[ (\partial \eta_1) \psi + \eta_1 (\partial \psi) \right]$$

$$= -\varepsilon^2 \left[ \eta_2 (\partial \eta_1) \psi + \eta_2 \eta_1 (\partial \psi) \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow \delta_{\eta_2} \delta_{\eta_1} \psi = -\varepsilon^2 \left[ \eta_1 (\partial \eta_2) \psi + \eta_1 \eta_2 (\partial \psi) \right]$$

$$(\delta_{\eta_1} \delta_{\eta_2} - \delta_{\eta_2} \delta_{\eta_1}) \psi = -\varepsilon^2 \left[ \left[ \eta_2 (\partial \eta_1) - \eta_1 (\partial \eta_2) \right] \psi + \right. \\ \left. (\eta_2 \eta_1 - \eta_1 \eta_2) \partial \psi \right]$$

$$= -\varepsilon^2 \left\{ \left[ -\eta_1 (\partial \eta_2) - (\partial \eta_1) \eta_2 \right] \psi \right. \\ \left. + (-2\eta_1 \eta_2) \partial \psi \right\}$$

$$= -\varepsilon^2 \left[ -\partial [\eta_1 \eta_2] \psi \right. \\ \left. - 2\eta_1 \eta_2 \partial \psi \right]$$



$$= -\epsilon^2 [-\partial[\eta_1, \eta_2] \psi] + O(\partial^2 \psi)$$

Let  $v = -2\eta_1 \eta_2$

$$= -\epsilon^2 \frac{\partial v}{2} \psi + O(\partial^2 \psi)$$

Rescaling  $\epsilon^2 \rightarrow \epsilon$  gives

$$-\frac{\epsilon}{2} \partial v \psi + \underbrace{O(\partial^2 \psi)}_{\uparrow}$$

this term is not quite the EQM,  
somewhere went wrong?

~~Dunston~~

8-29-2024

(b) Lemma:  $\delta_{\eta_1} \delta_{\eta_2} + \delta_{\eta_2} \delta_{\eta_1} = 0$ , <sup>mat is,</sup> superconformal transformations anticommute

Proof: By direct computation using what we computed in part (a)

$$(\delta_{\eta_1} \delta_{\eta_2} + \delta_{\eta_2} \delta_{\eta_1}) X = -\varepsilon^2 \left[ (\eta_2 \eta_1 + \eta_1 \eta_2) \partial X + (\eta_2^* \eta_1^* + \eta_1^* \eta_2^*) \bar{\partial} X \right] = 0.$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta_{\eta_1} \delta_{\eta_2} + \delta_{\eta_2} \delta_{\eta_1}) \psi &= -\varepsilon^2 \left[ (\eta_2 \partial \eta_1 + \eta_1 \partial \eta_2) \psi + (\eta_2 \eta_1 + \eta_1 \eta_2) \partial \psi \right] \\ &= -\varepsilon^2 \partial \left[ (\eta_2 \eta_1 + \eta_1 \eta_2) \psi \right] \\ &= 0. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Now consider  $[\delta_{\eta_0}, \delta_v]$ ,

by (10.1.11) or what we showed in part (a),

$$\delta_v = \delta_{\eta_1} \delta_{\eta_2} - \delta_{\eta_2} \delta_{\eta_1} \quad \text{where } -2\eta_1 \eta_2 = v,$$

so we can write  $[\delta_{\eta_0}, \delta_v]$  with purely superconformal variations

$$[\delta_{\eta_0}, \delta_v] = [\delta_{\eta_0} \delta_{\eta_1} \delta_{\eta_2} - \delta_{\eta_2} \delta_{\eta_1}]$$

$$= f_{\eta_0} f_{\eta_1} f_{\eta_2} \oplus - f_{\eta_2} f_{\eta_1} f_{\eta_0} - f_{\eta_1} f_{\eta_2} f_{\eta_0} + f_{\eta_2} f_{\eta_1} f_{\eta_0}$$

For notational simplicity we write them as

$$012 - 210 - 120 + 210$$

~~Now add~~ It's probably nicer to work with 3 than 0,  
 so we rename  $f_{\eta_0} \rightarrow f_{\eta_3}$ , so we have

$$312 - 213 - 123 + 213$$

$$= 312 + 213 - \{2,1\}3$$

$$= 312 + 213$$

$$\text{recall } \{f_{\eta_2}, f_{\eta_1}\} = 0$$

So we are now interested in the commutator

$$f_{\eta_3} f_{\eta_1} f_{\eta_2} + f_{\eta_2} f_{\eta_1} f_{\eta_3}$$



We compute this explicitly,

$$\delta_{\eta_1} \delta_{\eta_2} X = -\varepsilon^2 [\eta_2 \eta_1 \partial X + \eta_2^* \eta_1^* \bar{\partial} X]$$

$$\delta_{\eta_3} \delta_{\eta_1} \delta_{\eta_2} X = -\varepsilon^2 [\eta_2 \eta_1 \partial (\delta_{\eta_3} X) + \eta_2^* \eta_1^* \bar{\partial} (\delta_{\eta_3} X)]$$

$$= -\varepsilon^3 \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} [\eta_2 \eta_1 \cancel{\partial} \partial [\eta_3 \psi + \eta_3^* \bar{\psi}] + \eta_2^* \eta_1^* \cancel{\bar{\partial}} \bar{\partial} [\eta_3 \psi + \eta_3^* \bar{\psi}]]$$

$$= -\varepsilon^3 \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} [\eta_2 \eta_1 (\partial \eta_3) \psi + \eta_2 \eta_1 \eta_3 (\partial \psi) + \eta_2^* \eta_1^* (\bar{\partial} \eta_3^*) \bar{\psi} + \eta_2^* \eta_1^* \eta_3^* (\bar{\partial} \bar{\psi})]$$

$$\Rightarrow [\delta_{\eta_3} \delta_{\eta_1} \delta_{\eta_2} + \delta_{\eta_2} \delta_{\eta_1} \delta_{\eta_3}] X$$

$$= -\varepsilon^3 \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} \left\{ [\eta_2 \eta_1 (\partial \eta_3) + \eta_3 \eta_1 (\partial \eta_2)] \psi + [\eta_2 \eta_1 \eta_3 + \eta_3 \eta_1 \eta_2] \partial \psi + [\eta_2^* \eta_1^* (\bar{\partial} \eta_3^*) + \eta_3^* \eta_1^* (\bar{\partial} \eta_2^*)] \bar{\psi} + [\eta_2^* \eta_1^* \eta_3^* + \eta_3^* \eta_1^* \eta_2^*] \bar{\partial} \bar{\psi} \right\}$$

Using anticommutativity:  $\eta_2 \eta_1 \eta_3 = -\eta_2 \eta_3 \eta_1 = \eta_3 \eta_2 \eta_1 = -\eta_3 \eta_1 \eta_2$



The derivative terms on  $\psi$  vanish and we have

$$\left[ \delta_{\eta_3} \delta_{\eta_1} \delta_{\eta_2} + \delta_{\eta_2} \delta_{\eta_1} \delta_{\eta_3} \right] \psi$$

$$= -\varepsilon^3 \int \frac{d\sigma}{2} \left\{ \left[ \eta_2 \eta_1 (\partial \eta_3) + \eta_3 \eta_1 (\partial \eta_2) \right] \psi + \left[ \eta_2^* \eta_1^* (\partial \eta_3^*) + \eta_3^* \eta_1^* (\partial \eta_2^*) \right] \bar{\psi} \right\}$$

which is a superconformal transformation. (10.1.10 a)

Now we look at  $[\delta_{\eta_3} \delta_{\eta_1} \delta_{\eta_2} + \delta_{\eta_2} \delta_{\eta_1} \delta_{\eta_3}] \psi$

$$\delta_{\eta_2} \delta_{\eta_1} \psi = -\varepsilon^2 [\eta_2 (\partial \eta_1) \psi + \eta_2 \eta_1 (\partial \psi)]$$

$$\delta_{\eta_3} \delta_{\eta_1} \delta_{\eta_2} \psi = -\varepsilon^2 [\eta_2 (\partial \eta_1) (\delta_{\eta_3} \psi) + \eta_2 \eta_1 \partial (\delta_{\eta_3} \psi)]$$

$$= \varepsilon^3 \sqrt{\frac{2}{\alpha'}} \left\{ \eta_2 (\partial \eta_1) \eta_3 (\partial X) + \eta_2 \eta_1 \partial [\eta_3 \partial X] \right\}$$

$$= \varepsilon^3 \sqrt{\frac{2}{\alpha'}} \left\{ \begin{aligned} &\eta_2 (\partial \eta_1) \eta_3 (\partial X) + \\ &\eta_2 \eta_1 (\partial \eta_3) (\partial X) + \\ &\eta_2 \eta_1 \eta_3 (\partial^2 X) \end{aligned} \right\}$$

$$\Rightarrow [\delta_{\eta_3} \delta_{\eta_1} \delta_{\eta_2} + \delta_{\eta_2} \delta_{\eta_1} \delta_{\eta_3}] \psi$$

$$= \varepsilon^3 \sqrt{\frac{2}{\alpha'}} \left\{ \begin{aligned} &[\eta_2 (\partial \eta_1) \eta_3 + \eta_2 \eta_1 (\partial \eta_3) + \eta_3 (\partial \eta_1) \eta_2 + \eta_3 \eta_1 (\partial \eta_2)] \\ &\quad \times \partial X \\ &+ [\eta_2 \eta_1 \eta_3 + \eta_3 \eta_1 \eta_2] \partial^2 X \end{aligned} \right\}$$

$$= \varepsilon^2 \sqrt{\frac{2}{\alpha'}} [\eta_2 \partial(\eta_1, \eta_3) + \eta_3 \partial(\eta_1, \eta_2)] \partial X$$

which is of the form of superconformal transformation